



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/075,164 | 02/14/2002 | Shinya Adachi | NGB-34408 | 6919 |
| 116 | 7590 | 07/10/2009 | EXAMINER | |
| PEARNE & GORDON LLP | | | KENNEDY, ADRIAN L | |
| 1801 EAST 9TH STREET | | | | |
| SUITE 1200 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108 | | | 2129 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/10/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/075,164 | ADACHI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | ADRIAN L. KENNEDY | 2129 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ADRIAN L. KENNEDY. (3) ____.

(2) Nobuhiko Sukenaga. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 08 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Independent Claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During this interview, the examiner and the applicant's representative discussed issues regarding 112. The examiner and applicant's representative also discussed the disclosed invention. All involved parties agreed to work together to move the application towards allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|--|-----------------------|
| | /Adrian Kennedy/ 2129 |
|--|-----------------------|